

### Cabinet

MINUTES of the OPEN section of the Cabinet held on Tuesday 9 March 2021 at 11.00 am. Virtual/online meeting.

PRESENT:Councillor Kieron Williams (Chair)<br/>Councillor Jasmine Ali<br/>Councillor Evelyn Akoto<br/>Councillor Helen Dennis<br/>Councillor Stephanie Cryan<br/>Councillor Alice Macdonald<br/>Councillor Rebecca Lury<br/>Councillor Catherine Rose<br/>Councillor Johnson Situ

### 1. APOLOGIES

All members were present.

## 2. NOTIFICATION OF ANY ITEMS OF BUSINESS WHICH THE CHAIR DEEMS URGENT

The chair gave notice of the following late item:

• Item 7: Deputation requests

Reasons for urgency and lateness will be specified in the relevant minute.

#### 3. NOTICE OF INTENTION TO CONDUCT BUSINESS IN A CLOSED MEETING, AND ANY REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED

There were none.

1

### 4. DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS AND DISPENSATIONS

Councillor Evelyn Akoto declared a disclosable pecuniary interest in respect of the following items as a leaseholder and did not participate in the discussion for these items:

- Item 9: Discretionary Service Charge Loan Policy
- Item 10: Gateway 1 Procurement Strategy Approval Leasehold and Ancillary Properties Buildings Insurance.

### 5. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME (15 MINUTES)

The following twenty four public questions were received for cabinet. The responses were sent to the questioners in advance of the meeting and are set out below:

#### 1. Matt Reynolds

Some of the key aims of the low traffic neighbourhoods (LTNs) have experienced vast improvements but may be difficult to measure; primarily modal shift to cycling/walking and a reduction in vehicle speeding. How will the council ensure these impacts are measured accurately when determining the success of the projects?

## Response by Councillor Catherine Rose, Cabinet Member for Leisure, Environment and Roads

All of the LTNs across the borough will be comprehensively reviewed. The monitoring plan for the review will also be published which will explain how impacts are to be measured.

Full details of the first of these reviews for the LTNs in the Dulwich area are intended to be published to the council web pages later this month. The launch of the review will be accompanied by a newsletter delivered to all properties in the review area providing details of the review and how to access the relevant web page.

### 2. Maggie Brown

Can you please confirm that the forthcoming consultation will include and actively seek responses from all residents, businesses, schools and others actually or potentially affected by displacement of traffic arising from the measures, and that the implications for them are made clear and not diminished or glossed over?

## Response by Councillor Catherine Rose, Cabinet Member for Leisure, Environment and Roads

It is presumed that the question relates to the forthcoming review of the Dulwich area schemes.

Full details of the review are intended to be published on the council website later this month. The launch of reviews will be accompanied by a newsletter delivered to all properties in the review area providing details of the review and how to access the relevant web page.

#### 3. Trevor Moore

Given the timed restrictions introduced in other parts of the Dulwich area, why does the council consider that continuing 24/7 closures are needed at the Village junction with Calton Avenue, given that the overwhelming cycling usage (especially by children) is during the timed hours?

## Response by Councillor Catherine Rose, Cabinet Member for Leisure, Environment and Roads

The council is to carry out a comprehensive review of the Dulwich area LTNs with the current intention that the review will launched later this month.

As previously advised, consideration will be given to how current trial measures at the Dulwich Village/Calton Avenue junction meet and support the objectives of the wider area scheme.

#### 4. Caroline Annesley

Councillor Rose has implied that Dulwich residents need to stop making short car journeys. What evidence does Southwark have that Dulwich residents are making unnecessary short car journeys, and does this justify the pollution caused by forcing residents to take long detours to complete all journeys?

## Response by Councillor Catherine Rose, Cabinet Member for Leisure, Environment and Roads

Councillor Rose has not stated or implied that Dulwich residents need to stop making short car journeys.

### 5. Caroline Connellan (Clive Hill-Archer to attend on behalf)

Please can you confirm that the forthcoming consultation and review of Dulwich traffic measures will not be predicated on assumptions about 'traffic evaporation' which, if they turn out to be incorrect, will likely cause serious harm to residents, schools and others on the 'displacement routes'?

## Response by Councillor Catherine Rose, Cabinet Member for Leisure, Environment and Roads

Full details of the review for the Dulwich area LTNs are intended to be published to the council website later this month. The launch of the review will be accompanied by a newsletter delivered to all properties in the review area providing details of the review and how to access the relevant web page.

#### 6. Bridget Furst

Councillor Rose has indicated that permits will be made available to blue badge holders and other vulnerable groups. Given the clear harm that forcing residents to detour onto main roads is causing, and the lack of any technical obstacles, why is a more general permit scheme not being made available?

## Response by Councillor Catherine Rose, Cabinet Member for Leisure, Environment and Roads

I can confirm that permits will be available to Blue Badge holders. The traffic measures currently in place are designed to encouraging alternate means of travel such as cycling or walking.

Allowing a more general permit scheme would not support the objectives of the schemes.

### 7. Richard Aldwinckle

Given the abuse and intimidation that has been directed at members of the Dulwich Alliance, including traders, will the cabinet confirm that they deplore such behaviour, and that they will ask councillors to refrain from any actions that could be interpreted as condoning such behaviour?

## Response by Councillor Catherine Rose, Cabinet Member for Leisure, Environment and Roads

All councillors will be aware of the code of conduct expected.

If you believe that a councillor has breached the code of conduct, please raise a complaint to the monitoring officer by completing the breach of the member code of conduct complaint form that can found at the below web page link.

The web page also explain the arrangements for dealing with complaints: <u>https://www.southwark.gov.uk/council-and-democracy/councillors-and-mps/your-councillors?chapter=2</u>

#### 8. Linda Oliver

Could the cabinet please confirm the specific steps it has taken and is going to take to help local businesses in Dulwich, in light of the business issues they are facing due to the current experimental traffic orders (ETOs) and their almost uniform objection to them?

## Response by Councillor Catherine Rose, Cabinet Member for Leisure, Environment and Roads

The council is looking at various measures to help businesses in the Dulwich area, primarily related to providing additional and improved parking arrangements.

#### 9. Richard Cail

Please can you confirm that the Dulwich roads consultation due to be announced shortly will allow residents, businesses, schools and other respondents within and without the affected areas to express a clear view as to whether or not they would like the experimental traffic orders (ETOs) to be retained in their current form?

## Response by Councillor Catherine Rose, Cabinet Member for Leisure, Environment and Roads

Full details of the review for the Dulwich area LTNs are intended to be published to the council web pages later this month. The launch of the review will be accompanied by a newsletter delivered to all properties in the review area providing details of the review and how to access the relevant web page, which will contain links to a survey questionnaire where all stakeholders can state their views.

#### 10. Alex Hamilton

The 24/7 experimental closure of Court Lane for the Covid-19 crisis has significantly obstructed the expeditious movement of traffic required by the council's network management duty. Will the council have regard for this duty when the crisis is over and restore through movement either partially or totally?

## Response by Councillor Catherine Rose, Cabinet Member for Leisure, Environment and Roads

The council will consider all possible alternatives to the individual elements of the LTN measures before the end of the trial period. Any decisions will be based on all aspects of the highway authority statutory responsibilities, including those attributable to the Traffic Management Act.

#### 11. Grahame Merrifield

Last autumn the London Ambulance Service (LAS) wrote to Southwark Highways listing 10 instances of delays caused by the hard closures of Calton Avenue with diverted vehicles (DV) and hard closures in and around Melbourne Grove. They asked for cameras to be installed instead. Why is the council ignoring the wishes of the LAS?

## Response by Councillor Catherine Rose, Cabinet Member for Leisure, Environment and Roads

The council continues to work closely with the London Ambulance Service and meets regularly to consider any potential changes to the trial LTN measures.

As a result of these discussions, a number of sites have been converted to timed closures enforced by cameras. The council works with the London Ambulance Service and all blue light services to ensure the right local solution is found.

Dulwich Village has a combination of a physical closure and a number of camera gates in operation elsewhere.

#### **12.** Catherine Mitchell

Why is our money being wasted on low traffic neighbourhood (LTN) schemes that we do not want?

## Response by Councillor Catherine Rose, Cabinet Member for Leisure, Environment and Roads

The council delivers a broad range of transport measures to reduce traffic, improve safety and encourage walking, cycling and public transport use. The planned investment programme for 2020/21 was agreed by cabinet and can be found here:

http://moderngov.southwark.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=302&MId=64 16&Ver=4

The pandemic and changes to funding structures have resulted in Transport for London requiring all councils to produce a Streetspace Plan in order to explain their investment programme during the pandemic, and this can be accessed here:

http://moderngov.southwark.gov.uk/ieDecisionDetails.aspx?Alld=57398

#### 13. Sophie Daranyi

50% or fewer with disabilities possess a blue badge - partly as it is an arduous process to get one - what do Southwark Council intend to do for the

other 50% of the disabled or elderly in the area to prevent negative impact of increased journey times and access they are enduring from the closures?

# Response by Councillor Catherine Rose, Cabinet Member for Leisure, Environment and Roads

Southwark Council has led the way on this matter and is one of the only council's in London to have taken action to support Blue Badge holders, ensuring that they are exempt from fines if they need to use a camera controlled route to gain the quickest access to their own homes.

Badges are issued to those who apply and evidence eligibility, based on legislation.

#### 14. Marianne Kavanagh

Southwark have made assertions about road closures being good for businesses which are not supported by the cited evidence or by the experience of Dulwich traders. Please can Southwark confirm that such misleading assertions will not be repeated in the forthcoming consultation ?

## Response by Councillor Catherine Rose, Cabinet Member for Leisure, Environment and Roads

Full details of the review for the Dulwich area LTNs are intended to be published to the council web pages later this month.

#### 15. Lianne Ludlow

Which traffic monitors and available data sets will you be using to assess displacement, traffic flows and congestion around low traffic neighbourhoods (LTNs) and how will you be modelling pollution and assessing its impact on residents, schools, pedestrians and businesses? Please break this down in detail.

## Response by Councillor Catherine Rose, Cabinet Member for Leisure, Environment and Roads

Full details of all reviews are intended to be published to the council web pages. The details will include a Monitoring Plan which sets out the proposed monitoring regime.

#### 16. George Derrick

How does the council reconcile its own evidence and statement that nitrogen dioxide (NO2) levels in the borough have been gradually falling but are still commonly found at illegally high levels on residential main roads with the introduction of low traffic neighbourhoods (LTNs) which displace and

concentrate traffic and pollution onto those same residential main roads?

## Response by Councillor Catherine Rose, Cabinet Member for Leisure, Environment and Roads

Highways measures are one of the few tools the local authority has to encourage traffic evaporation, leading to overall better air quality for everyone.

Road closures and traffic filters may cause short-term highways impacts on traffic flow across other roads. As they are done as experimental measures, it may be possible to mitigate the local traffic impacts with other traffic measures, e.g. re-phasing lights to account for locally varied traffic volumes and speeds. The area reviews will help us to understand, identify and support that work even further.

The long term trend in pollution is downwards due to improvements in engine combustion technology, reducing percentage of Internal Combustion Engine vehicles, and policies like the ultra-low charge emission zone (ULEZ). But we must work with Transport for London (TfL) to improve the main road network even further.

#### 17. Penny Morgan

Southwark's traffic measures in Dulwich risk displacing traffic from roads with low pollution and high car ownership to boundary roads with already high pollution and low car ownership. Please can you confirm that the burden of proof is with Southwark to prove that this has not happened?

## Response by Councillor Catherine Rose, Cabinet Member for Leisure, Environment and Roads

The council is shortly to carry out a comprehensive review of the Dulwich area LTN measures.

Full details of the review are intended to be published to the council web pages later this month.

One of the areas to be considered as part of the review is any change to traffic patterns.

#### 18. Cathy Braganza

The council have been contacted by many residents and businesses negatively affected by the Dulwich Village low traffic neighbourhoods (LTN), many in different council wards and even different boroughs. Will all of these people's responses be equally weighted as those who have benefitted from the measures in the upcoming review?

## Response by Councillor Catherine Rose, Cabinet Member for Leisure, Environment and Roads

It is proposed that full details of the review for the Dulwich area LTNs will be published to the council web pages later this month.

#### 19. Claire Hitchcock

We can see, hear and smell the huge increase in idling traffic and pollution outside our homes. When will a 'fair and just' Labour-run council stop experimenting on our and our families' health?

## Response by Councillor Catherine Rose, Cabinet Member for Leisure, Environment and Roads

Transport accounts for up to half of the air pollution in London. The government sets the national framework of road building, laws and taxation for motor vehicles. Within this framework the borough, alongside Transport for London, undertakes the monitoring of traffic levels, behaviour and network performance of our roads. This information is reported within the borough's annual report and TfL's Travel in London reports.

The council's transport policies and funding are focussed on reducing traffic and increasing walking, cycling and public transport to help reduce air pollution. The government, via TfL, provide the council with funding to carry out a number of transport projects in the borough each year.

The council monitors our projects to ensure the impact of the works are better understood and to enable further mitigations, adaption or changes as required.

### 20. Henna Sherazi-Selby

Croxted Road Residents Association had a meeting with Councillor Rose on the 12 February 2021 where she assured us that there would be additional air quality monitoring for our road. Can you confirm whether this is still planned and who at the council we can contact about this?

## Response by Councillor Catherine Rose, Cabinet Member for Leisure, Environment and Roads

There were previously two NO2 diffusion tubes deployed in this area. Following discussions with local residents and ward councillors, an additional diffusion tube has been deployed in February on Croxted Road between the two bridges.

Following my meeting with residents, officers are working on a substantive response to the requests made for further additional resources of monitoring

and modelling and exploring collaborative working with our neighbouring borough of Lambeth.

### 21. Charles Cary-Elwes

24/7 closure of the Dulwich Village Junction and other roads discriminates against vulnerable groups, impedes emergency services, causes pollution near schools and health centres and traffic congestion on Lordship Lane, South Circular, East Dulwich Grove and Croxted Road.

## Response by Councillor Catherine Rose, Cabinet Member for Leisure, Environment and Roads

Your comment has been noted.

#### 22. Jean Cary-Elwes

By law, the council must carry out a fair and transparent Village-wide consultation with residents and stakeholders as part of its review of the temporary low traffic neighbourhoods (LTN). When will this consultation begin? What form will it take? Will you guarantee that the results will be published immediately?

## Response by Councillor Catherine Rose, Cabinet Member for Leisure, Environment and Roads

Full details of the review and associated consultation are intended to be published to the council web pages later this month.

The results of the review will be published to the council web pages when complete.

### 23. Andrew Grant

Southwark Council have spent more than £18,000 on air quality monitoring for the Walworth low emissions neighbourhood. The council rightly felt that air quality monitoring was valuable and a valid way to assess this scheme. Why has the council chosen not to add additional air quality monitoring for other low traffic neighbourhood (LTN) schemes?

## Response by Councillor Catherine Rose, Cabinet Member for Leisure, Environment and Roads

The £18k spent on air quality monitoring at Browning Street and Liverpool Grove, together with additional diffusion tubes in the established Walworth low emission neighbourhood (LEN), was pre-planned in 2019, and was deployed with sufficient time before the road closure interventions on those two streets to allow a comparison of data collected before and after road

closures.

Where road closures are undertaken at short notice such as the low traffic neighbourhoods (LTNs), it is not always possible to gather the same level of baseline data for comparison with a data set collected after the intervention. Due to annual, seasonal, and weather based fluctuations in air quality. Air quality monitoring data will also need to be supplemented with air quality modelling data drawn from traffic surveys and weather data. We are putting appropriate additional resources into air quality monitoring across other LTNs.

### 24. Chris Kent

We have been assured by councillors that they are working on solutions to the displaced traffic on Croxted Road but so far have had no further details. What are the proposed solutions and how will these address the increased traffic and pollution we are currently facing outside our homes? Please can you share specific details?

## Response by Councillor Catherine Rose, Cabinet Member for Leisure, Environment and Roads

Croxted Road is to be included in the forthcoming review of the Dulwich area LTN measures.

Full details of the review are intended to be published to the council web pages later this month.

#### 6. MINUTES

### **RESOLVED:**

That the minutes of the meeting held on 2 February 2021 be approved as a correct record and signed by the chair.

#### 7. DEPUTATION REQUESTS

Three deputation requests were received for cabinet and were not accepted for the following reasons:

#### 1. Leaseholders at Devon Mansions

Arrangements had been made for council officers to examine the detailed issues submitted and to meet with residents separately to discuss.

### 2. Dulwich Alliance

#### 3. Lordship Lane residents and businesses

In respect of the deputations received from 2 and 3 above, similar requests had been considered by cabinet recently.

The leader of the council announced that deputations and public questions serve the purpose of bringing matters to cabinet attention, but that the ongoing dialogue and discussion can continue effectively outside the cabinet process and by other means and established processes.

### 8. TEMPORARY ACCOMMODATION POLICY AND ACTION PLAN

### **RESOLVED**:

#### Decisions of the Cabinet

- 1. That the temporary accommodation policy and action plan report be noted and it be agreed to publish and implement the Southwark Good Homes Standard (for homeless households) (Appendix 6 of the report).
- 2. That it be agreed that an annual temporary accommodation (TA) scrutiny report be produced.
- 3. That it be noted that homeless households in temporary accommodation placed outside of the borough will retain their rights to bid for Southwark's permanent social housing.

### Decisions of the Leader of the Council

- 4. That authority be delegated to the cabinet member for social support and homelessness to bring forward (individual decision making) IDM to agree:
  - a. The revised accommodation procurement policy for homeless households (Appendix 1 of the report) including a revised temporary accommodation supply action plan.
  - b. The revised private rented sector offers policy for homeless households (Appendix 2 of the report).
  - c. The revised temporary accommodation lettings framework (Appendix 3 of the report).

### 9. DISCRETIONARY SERVICE CHARGE LOAN POLICY REVIEW

Councillor Evelyn Akoto, having declared declared a disclosable pecuniary interest in respect this item as a leaseholder, did not participate in the discussion on this item.

12

Cabinet - Tuesday 9 March 2021

### **RESOLVED**:

### Decisions of the Cabinet

- 1. That the contents of the report proposing changes to improve the current discretionary service charge loan policy be noted.
- 2. That the changes to the policy as recommended in paragraphs 20-28 of the report be agreed.

#### Decisions of the Leader of the Council

- 3. That authority be delegated to the cabinet member for housing to agree a revised comprehensive policy reflecting the changes proposed in this report, and to review and if appropriate amend it, every five years, or sooner if a significant event occurs (as defined by the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA)).
- 4. That authority be delegated to the strategic director of finance and governance to review the service charge loan interest rates on an annual basis, in order for the council to be more reactive to business, economic and environmental changes.

#### 10. GATEWAY 1 - PROCUREMENT STRATEGY APPROVAL - LEASEHOLD AND ANCILLARY PROPERTIES BUILDINGS INSURANCE

Councillor Evelyn Akoto, having declared declared a disclosable pecuniary interest in respect this item as a leaseholder, did not participate in the discussion on this item.

### **RESOLVED**:

### Decision of the Cabinet

1. That the procurement strategy outlined in the report to undertake a procurement in line with the Public Contract Regulations 2015 (PCR) for the leasehold and ancillary properties buildings insurance contract (including a separate claims handling service) for a period of three years commencing 1 April 2022, with an option to extend for two twelve month extensions, making a total estimated contract value of up to £25.57m be approved. The estimated total contract value is up to £5.1m per annum (including insurance premium tax at 12%).

#### **Decision of the Leader of the Council**

2. That authority be delegated to the cabinet member for housing to award the

contract for the reasons set out in paragraph 12 of the report.

### 11. INVESTMENT PROPOSAL FOR PLOTS A1, A2 AND K1 AT CANADA WATER

### **RESOLVED**:

That further to the Master Development Agreement (MDA) between the council and British Land (BL) the council should invest its land only in plots A1, A2 and K1.

# 12. STRATEGIC PROPERTY PURCHASE: STORK ON THE HILL MIDWIFERY CENTRE, BLANCHEDOWNE, DENMARK HILL

### **RESOLVED:**

- 1. That pursuant to s17 of the Housing Act 1985 the freehold interest in the land edged red on the plan at Appendix A of the report be acquired by the council in accordance with the principal terms set out substantially in paragraph 15 of the report and fully in paragraph 3 of the closed version of the report.
- 2. That authority be delegated to the director of regeneration to agree detailed terms of the transaction.

### 13. FORMER GARAGES ADJACENT TREVITHICK HOUSE BERMONDSEY

### **RESOLVED**:

- 1. That the land shown edged red on the plan at Appendix A of the report that is currently held for housing purposes be confirmed as no longer required for those purposes and the appropriation of the land to planning purposes to facilitate the carrying out of the development proposals for the area in accordance with section 226 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 and section 122(1) of the Local Government Act 1972 be approved.
- 2. That it be confirmed that following completion of the appropriation at paragraph 1 of the report, the land shown edged red on the plan at Appendix A of the report will no longer be required for planning purposes and the appropriation of the land to housing purposes in accordance with section 9 of the Housing Act 1985 and section 122(1) of the Local Government Act 1972 be approved.

#### 14. GATEWAY 2 – CONTRACT AWARD CARE AT HOME AND IN THE COMMUNITY FOR CHILDREN & YOUNG PEOPLE

### **RESOLVED**:

- 1. That the establishment of a single-lot framework agreement for the provision of Care at Home and in the Community for Children & Young People (CYP Care at Home) for a period of up to four (4) years from 3 May 2021 be approved for an estimated total value of £4.8m and the deviation from the original gateway 1, namely that Southwark Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) had given notice that it did not wish to further its participation in a joint procurement process be approved.
- 2. That the six named providers for the single-lot CYP Care at Home Framework Agreement as set out be approved:
  - Verity Healthcare Ltd
  - Supreme Care Services Ltd
  - De Vere Care Partnership
  - Contact Professionals
  - Diamond Resourcing Plc t/a Better Healthcare Services
  - Unique Personnel (UK) Ltd.

### 15. GATEWAY 0 - STRATEGIC OPTIONS ASSESSMENT FOR THE FUTURE DIRECTION OF THE TREE SERVICE

### **RESOLVED:**

- 1. That it be noted that there is a need to review the way in which tree services are delivered and to consider new and more effective delivery models.
- 2. That the outcomes of the tree services strategic options assessment which recommends moving to a 'mixed economy model' with in house client management and tree planting teams and an outsourced tree works service, detailed in paragraphs 61 to 64 of the report be noted.
- 3. That it be noted that that any impact on existing council employees as a result of the recommended option will be carefully considered and appropriate consultation with staff and recognised Trade Unions will take place. More detail about the consideration of the impact on staff can be found at paragraph 85 of the report.
- 4. That officers bring a gateway 1 report to cabinet in 2021 for the procurement of the external tree works services.
- 5. That the detailed proposals be worked through with the stakeholders particularly to ensure that the best option is considered for tree management,

looking at all options including whether there is any further potential to do any aspects in-house.

#### 16. GATEWAY 0 - APPRAISAL OF MANAGEMENT OPTIONS FOR LEISURE CENTRES

Councillor Ian Wingfield was in attendance to confirm the recent consideration of this item by the overview and scrutiny committee. Cabinet thanked the committee for their work in respect of this matter.

#### **RESOLVED:**

- 1. That it be noted that the council's current contract with Sport and Leisure Management Limited (SLM) ends in June 2023 and this provides the council with the opportunity to consider a new and more effective delivery model for its leisure service.
- 2. That the outcome of the leisure service strategic options assessment which demonstrates that insourcing, outsourcing or creating a Local Authority Controlled Company (LACC) are all reasonable management options for the council to consider, be noted.
- 3. That it be noted that insourcing the leisure service is currently the preferred option in that it addresses a number of key drivers for change, and provides the council with a range of benefits, as detailed in paragraphs 12 19 of the report.
- 4. That the associated advantages and risks with insourcing the leisure service, as detailed in Tables 4 and 5 of the report be noted.
- 5. That it be noted that the recruitment of an experienced programme manager is required on a fixed term basis to implement the planning, and oversee the delivery, of the next steps of the preferred in-house option. In addition a strategic outcomes planning strategy is needed which will be undertaken by an external consultancy.
- 6. That, subject to the approval of the recommendations in this gateway 0 report, a gateway 1 paper is brought to cabinet in autumn 2021 to seek approval to a proposed insourcing strategy which will include a detailed programme and implementable plan to bring the leisure service in-house.

#### 17. PUBLIC SPACE PROTECTION ORDER EXTENSION - TO TACKLE DOG RELATED ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR

16

Cabinet - Tuesday 9 March 2021

### **RESOLVED**:

- 1. That the continuation of Public Space Protection Orders (PSPOs) for dogrelated anti-social behavior without variation until March 2024 be approved.
- 2. That the findings of the review of the Public Space Protection Orders (PSPOs) to tackle dog-related anti-social behavior (ASB) be noted.
- 3. That it be noted that a further review (including consultation with key stakeholders) of the PSPO to tackle dog-related anti-social behaviour will start in March 2022, with a view to renewing the PSPO by 31 March 2024, subject to the outcome of the review.

#### 18. GATEWAY 1 - PROCUREMENT STRATEGY APPROVAL -FACILITIES MANAGEMENT CONTRACT PROCUREMENT FOR THE OPERATIONAL ESTATE

### **RESOLVED**:

- 1. That the following recommendations be approved:
- 2. To bring the corporate facilities management (CFM) cleaning services outlined in paragraph 59 of the report in-house on expiry of the current contract in September 2022.
- 3. To procure the remaining hard and soft facilities management (FM) services recommended in the procurement strategy (outlined in paragraphs 34 to 35 of the report) via a further competition process using the Crown Commercial Services (CCS) framework agreement, RM3830 at an estimated annual cost of £7.6m for 4 years totalling £30.4m with an option to extend by a further two years, totalling an estimated £45.6m
- 4. That potential (not guaranteed) lifecycle capital investment works to the value of £3.5m per annum (outlined in paragraph 35), thereby increasing contract cost up to £66.6m be approved.
- 5. That the amendment to the approach approved in the gateway 0 report, in line with the recommended new procurement strategy as set out below be noted.
- 6. That the gateway 2 decision be delegated to the strategic director for housing and modernisation, for the reasons outlined in paragraph 39 of the report.

### 19. EXTENSION OF THE MITIE FM LTD (INTERSERVE) FACILITIES MANAGEMENT CONTRACT

17

Cabinet - Tuesday 9 March 2021

#### **RESOLVED:**

- That the variation to extend the term (and agree associated changes noted in the report) of the consolidated facilities management (FM) contract with Mitie FM Limited (Mitie) (previously known as Interserve (Facilities Management) Limited (Interserve) as outlined in paragraph 8 of the report, for a period of up to 18 months, from 01 April 2021 to 30 September 2022, at an estimated total cost of £16m be approved.
- 2. That it be noted that from the contract start date 1 February 2013 to 30 September 2022 the estimated total contract value would be £79m.
- 3. That it be noted that a three month break clause will be sought as part of the variation to allow for an earlier end to the contract if required, as further detailed in paragraph 14 of the report.

#### MOTION TO EXCLUDE THE PRESS AND PUBLIC

That the press and public be excluded form the meeting for the following items of business on the grounds that they involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in category 3 of paragraph 10.4 of the access to information procedure rules of the Southwark Constitution.

The following is a summary of the decisions taken in the closed part of the meeting.

#### 20. STRATEGIC PROPERTY PURCHASE: STORK ON THE HILL MIDWIFERY CENTRE, BLANCHEDOWNE, DENMARK HILL

The cabinet considered the closed information relating to this item. Please see item 12 for the decision.

#### 21. GATEWAY 2 – CONTRACT AWARD CARE AT HOME AND IN THE COMMUNITY FOR CHILDREN & YOUNG PEOPLE

The cabinet considered the closed information relating to this item. Please see item 14 for the decision.

The meeting ended at 12.40pm.

#### CHAIR:

#### DATED:

DEADLINE FOR NOTIFICATION OF CALL-IN UNDER SECTION 17 OF THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULES IS MIDNIGHT, WEDNESDAY 17 MARCH 2021.

THE ABOVE DECISIONS WILL NOT BE IMPLEMENTABLE UNTIL AFTER THAT DATE. SHOULD A DECISION OF THE CABINET BE CALLED-IN FOR SCRUTINY, THEN THE RELEVANT DECISION WILL BE HELD IN ABEYANCE PENDING THE OUTCOME OF SCRUTINY CONSIDERATION.